Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/593,137	OKUBO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	BRIEANN R. FINK	1763

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):
(1) <u>BRIEANN R. FINK</u> .	(3)
(2) <u>Thomas Wiseman</u> .	(4)
Date of Interview: 31 August 2011.	
Type: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant]	☐ applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes If Yes, brief description:	⊠ No.
Issues Discussed 101 112 1102 103 Oth (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detail	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>all</u> .	
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>none</u> .	
Substance of Interview (For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, argum	
Thomas Wiseman agreed to cancel all withdrawn claims, swithout traverse. Thomas also agreed to an examiner's am the originally filed claim 8 as it provides more clarity than the	
Applicant recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to p	provide a separate record of the substance of interview.
Examiner recordation instructions: Examiners must summarize the sub the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713 general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication or general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to v	.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the fany other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the
☐ Attachment	
/BRIEANN R FINK/ Examiner, Art Unit 1763	/MILTON I CANO/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1763
S. Patent and Trademark Office	